

# Unravelling incommensurate magnetism and the path to intrinsic topological superconductivity in iron-pnictides

Morten H. Christensen,<sup>1</sup> Brian M. Andersen,<sup>1</sup> and Panagiotis Kotetes<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen,  
Juliane Maries Vej 30, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for Quantum Devices, Niels Bohr Institute,  
University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

We investigate generic itinerant systems exhibiting a tendency towards incommensurate (IC) magnetism with ordering wavevectors  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (Q, 0)/(0, Q)$  and retrieve the complete phase diagram and leading instabilities near the paramagnetic-magnetic transition within a Landau approach. The aspect of incommensurability introduces a plethora of new magnetic phases that either preserve or violate  $C_4$ -symmetry. Within a representative five-orbital model we show that these nonstandard IC phases become favored in iron-based superconductors (FeSCs) and provide a natural explanation for a new  $C_2$  magnetic phase recently observed in the Na-doped compounds. Our results further reveal that a  $C_4$ -preserving non-coplanar texture becomes stabilized and can be controllably rendered skyrmionic by applying a minute external magnetic field. We illustrate how the microscopic coexistence of this non-coplanar texture with spin-singlet superconducting pairing, a feasible scenario for FeSCs, opens a viable path for realizing intrinsic topological superconductivity.

*Introduction.* While static magnetism and spin-singlet superconductivity constitute ubiquitous phases of correlated matter, they rarely coexist microscopically due to their diametrically opposed behavior under time-reversal ( $\mathcal{T}$ ). Their antagonistic relation becomes manifest in the case of high- $T_c$  superconductivity, that often develops in the vicinity of magnetic phases suppressed via charge carrier doping [1, 2]. Therefore, the nature of magnetism can reveal key clues for the ensuing pairing mechanism. Nonetheless, even in the uncommon scenario of coexistence with superconductivity, diagnosing and controlling magnetism is of chief priority, since certain types of magnetic textures can be employed for crafting intrinsic chiral topological superconductors (TSCs), as previous proposals on artificial TSCs [3–9] suggest.

High- $T_c$  iron-based superconductors (FeSCs) provide an ideal playground for exploring the above phenomena. Superconductivity emerges via doping of the parent compounds that in most cases are striped antiferromagnets with commensurate magnetic ordering wavevectors  $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (\pi, 0)$  or  $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (0, \pi)$ , which are equivalent by virtue of the fourfold rotational symmetry ( $C_4$ ). Within the itinerant scenario, magnetism is driven by Fermi surface (FS) nesting between the hole pockets at  $(0, 0)$  and the electron pockets at  $(\pi, 0)/(0, \pi)$  of the 1Fe Brillouin zone. Remarkably, experiments have additionally revealed the appearance of double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases in  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [10, 11],  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [12–17], and  $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [18]. Two types of commensurate double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases are predicted, the so-called collinear and non-collinear [19–25], that contrary to the stripe phase are  $C_4$ -symmetric. Moreover, the coexistence of both  $C_2$  and  $C_4$  commensurate magnetic phases with superconductivity has been experimentally reported [10, 26, 27]. However, solely combining collinear magnetism and spin-singlet superconductivity cannot lead to a strong TSC phase in two dimensions, due to symmetry constraints [3].

Strikingly, recent thermal expansion measurements

[28] performed on  $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  have revealed a rich mosaic of single- and double- $\mathbf{Q}$  magnetic phases, including a new  $C_2$ -symmetric phase of a yet-unidentified nature, thus suggesting that magnetism in FeSCs is not limited to the three commensurate phases reported earlier. Resolving the origin of the latter enigmatic phase, which also coexists with superconductivity [28], could provide valuable insight regarding the pairing glue and may open new perspectives for topological phases as shown below.

In this Letter, motivated by the finding of this non-standard  $C_2$ -phase, we put forward a set of new incommensurate (IC) magnetic phases that could be lurking in the phase diagram of FeSCs. As we show here, the effect of incommensurability is not only restricted to modifying the three well known commensurate phases, but more importantly gives rise to six *new* distinct magnetic phases, five of which are only  $C_2$ -symmetric. Our consideration of incommensurability is supported by recent experiments [28] which additionally unveiled an inflection point in the stripe phase, suggesting a commensurate to IC transition in accordance with theoretical predictions [29]. Direct evidence for IC magnetism in the FeSCs has been also provided by neutron scattering [30–32]. Below, within the IC scenario, we identify the prominent candidates for the enigmatic  $C_2$  phase that succeeds the IC stripe order upon varying doping and temperature.

Within a generic Landau approach we identify the nine possible IC magnetic ground states with wavevectors  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (Q, 0)/(0, Q)$  and extract the *complete* phase diagram. In addition, by adopting a representative five-orbital model [33], we show that IC magnetism is a realistic scenario for the family of FeSCs with the set of new phases reported here becoming energetically favored. Our calculations further reveal that a  $C_4$ -symmetric non-coplanar magnetic texture can be stabilized, and can additionally acquire a topologically non-trivial skyrmionic charge via applying a weak Zeeman field. Finally, we designate how its microscopic coexistence with spin-singlet

pairing can lead to an intrinsic TSC harboring chiral Majorana edge modes.

*Landau formalism.* For identifying the accessible IC magnetic phases for a system with tetragonal symmetry and ordering wavevectors  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ , we retrieve the Landau functional up to quartic tier with respect to the magnetic order parameters,  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}$ . Contrary to the commensurate case ( $\delta = 0$ ) where  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}^*$ , in the present situation the magnetic order parameters are complex since  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}} \neq \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}^* \equiv \mathbf{M}_{-\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}$ . By further assuming vanishing spin-orbit coupling, which implies that the magnetic order parameters transform under the point group only due to their wavevector indices, we end up with the following expression for the Landau free energy functional (with  $\mathbf{M}_{1,2} \equiv \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}$ ):

$$F = \alpha(|\mathbf{M}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{M}_2|^2) + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2}(|\mathbf{M}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{M}_2|^2)^2 + \frac{\beta - \tilde{\beta}}{2}(|\mathbf{M}_1^2|^2 + |\mathbf{M}_2^2|^2) + (g - \tilde{\beta})|\mathbf{M}_1|^2|\mathbf{M}_2|^2 + \frac{\tilde{g}}{2}(|\mathbf{M}_1 \cdot \mathbf{M}_2|^2 + |\mathbf{M}_1 \cdot \mathbf{M}_2^*|^2). \quad (1)$$

The above was previously studied by Schulz [34], restricted however to the possible occurrence of IC magnetism in high- $T_c$  cuprates. In contrast, here we first address the generic case and afterwards apply our results to FeSCs using a microscopic five-orbital model [33].

The Landau functional is invariant under complex-conjugation ( $\mathcal{K}$ ), time-reversal,  $D_{4h}$  point group operations,  $SO(3)$  spin rotations and translations ( $t_a$ , with  $\mathbf{a}$  the direct lattice shift vector). At quadratic level the above symmetry becomes artificially enhanced yielding a degeneracy among the possible magnetic ground states that set in when  $\alpha < 0$ . The latter guides us to parametrize the order parameters as  $\mathbf{M}_1 = M \cos \eta \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1$  and  $\mathbf{M}_2 = M \sin \eta \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2$  with  $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2}|^2 = 1$  and  $\eta \in [0, \pi/2]$ . Note that the complex spin vectors generally satisfy  $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2}^2| \leq 1$ . Translational invariance allows us to arbitrarily and independently choose the overall phase of the vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2}$ . On the other hand, spin-rotational invariance gives us the possibility of further simplifications, as for instance setting  $\text{Re}[\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1]$  parallel to the  $z$  spin axis.

Under these conditions, extremizing the Landau functional with respect to  $\eta$  yields

$$\sin(2\eta) = 0, \quad \cos(2\eta) = \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^2|^2 - |\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^2|^2}{2G + 2\tilde{G}P - (|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^2|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^2|^2)}, \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced

$$G \equiv \frac{g - \tilde{\beta}}{\beta - \tilde{\beta}}, \quad \tilde{G} \equiv \frac{\tilde{g}}{\beta - \tilde{\beta}}, \quad P \equiv \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^*|^2}{2}. \quad (3)$$

For  $\sin(2\eta) = 0$  we retrieve single- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases since  $\eta = 0$  ( $\eta = \pi/2$ ) implies that only the order parameter with wave-vector  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  ( $\mathbf{Q}_2$ ) appears. The remaining extrema arise for values of  $\eta$  determined by the  $\cos(2\eta)$ , leading to double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases. For  $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^2| \neq |\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^2|$  we have  $\eta \neq \pi/4$ .




FIG. 1. Illustration of the new magnetic phases appearing with the rise of IC magnetism. The color scale signifies the magnitude of the magnetic moment. Note that contrary to the commensurate case, the incommensurability allows for non-coplanar magnetic textures. In (a)-(c) various magnetic spiral order parameters are shown. In (b)/(c) the spiral coexists with an in-/out-of-plane IC stripe. In (d) we present the  $C_2$ -symmetric coplanar phase and in (e)/(f) the non-coplanar phase with  $C_2/C_4$  symmetry.

By observing that  $\tan \eta = |\mathbf{M}_2|/|\mathbf{M}_1|$  we obtain that in this case  $|\mathbf{M}_1| \neq |\mathbf{M}_2|$  and thus all the arising double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases violate  $C_4$ -symmetry leaving only a  $C_2$  subgroup intact. If instead  $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1^2| = |\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2^2|$ , *not necessarily* all double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases are  $C_4$ -symmetry-violating. After excluding special or singular values of the Landau coefficients we find nine distinct magnetic phases, presented below, in Fig. 1 and Ref. 35, that yield the two generic phase diagrams of Fig. 2 corresponding to a different sign of  $\beta - \tilde{\beta}$ .

*Magnetic phases.* The order parameters of the arising magnetic ground states are identified by the values of  $\eta$  and the spin vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2}$ , which are determined by minimizing the free energy. In addition to the IC generalizations of the single- $\mathbf{Q}$  stripe and the double- $\mathbf{Q}$  collinear and non-collinear phases, we uncover a single- $\mathbf{Q}$  spiral phase along with five further double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases. Note that these include a number of  $C_4$  breaking double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phases in spite of the simultaneous presence of both  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}$ . The six phases unique to the IC case are portrayed in Fig. 1. In (a) we present a single- $\mathbf{Q}$  magnetic spiral phase, while in (b)/(c) a double- $\mathbf{Q}$  phase is shown, where an asymmetric/symmetric spiral phase at  $\mathbf{Q}_{1(2)}$  coexists with an IC stripe at  $\mathbf{Q}_{2(1)}$ . In particular, in (b) and (c) the magnetic moment of the stripe order lies, correspondingly, in ( $\parallel$ ) and out-of ( $\perp$ ) the spiral's plane. In (d) a  $C_2$ -symmetric




FIG. 2. Phase diagrams for the Landau functional in Eq. (1). Here the phases are: C<sub>2</sub> magnetic spiral (●), C<sub>2</sub> IC stripe (●), C<sub>4</sub> collinear double-**Q** (●), C<sub>4</sub> non-collinear double-**Q** (●), C<sub>2</sub> magnetic spiral with in-plane (||) IC stripe (●), C<sub>2</sub> magnetic spiral with out-of-plane (⊥) IC stripe (●), C<sub>2</sub> coplanar (●), C<sub>4</sub> non-coplanar (●), and C<sub>2</sub> non-coplanar (●). While the IC extensions of the original three phases (●, ●, ●) [35] are present, they have yielded large regions of the phase diagrams to the new phases solely appearing in the IC regime.

coplanar phase is shown, while in (e)/(f) a non-coplanar C<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>4</sub>-symmetric magnetic order parameter is depicted. The detailed form of the magnetic order parameters and the free energy corresponding to each phase are presented in Ref. 35. The type of order parameter symmetry, C<sub>4</sub> or C<sub>2</sub>, is readily inferred by examining  $|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})|$ .

In Fig. 2, we find that the magnetic spiral and IC stripe dominate a large part of the phase diagram in  $G - \tilde{G}$  parameter space, while the collinear C<sub>4</sub> phase is also prominent. For  $\beta - \tilde{\beta} > 0$  the magnetic spiral appears for a range of values of  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  in the upper right quadrant. As  $G < 1/2$  with  $\tilde{G} > 0$  this yields to a spiral with an out-of-plane IC stripe. As  $G$  is decreased further we also find the spiral with an in-plane IC stripe, along with the C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> non-coplanar phases. When  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  are close to zero the spirals with || and ⊥ IC stripes compete with the C<sub>2</sub> coplanar order, thus resulting in an intricate phase pattern. For  $\tilde{G} < 0$  the collinear C<sub>4</sub> phase appears alongside the C<sub>2</sub> non-coplanar and a spiral with in-plane stripe, while the C<sub>2</sub> coplanar and C<sub>4</sub> non-coplanar are only present in narrow regions for  $G < 0$ . The spiral with an out-of-plane stripe only appears along a very thin sliver at  $G = 1/2$ . For  $\beta - \tilde{\beta} < 0$ , the collinear C<sub>4</sub> phase appears once again in an extended region, while for  $G < 0$  the IC stripe is dominant. The non-collinear C<sub>4</sub> phase occupies a small region for  $\tilde{G} < 0$  and  $G > 0$ , and is replaced by the C<sub>4</sub> non-coplanar phase as  $\tilde{G}$  grows more negative. Notably, while the IC extensions of the three commensurate phases still appear, they have ceded large regions of the phase diagram to the novel IC phases.

*FeSC case.* At this point we apply our general results to the FeSCs, and explore the conditions which favour the appearance of the new IC magnetic phases, via evaluating the coefficients of the free energy Eq. (1). For the latter, we adopt a realistic five-orbital model [33] supplemented

with Hubbard-Hund interactions [36, 37] consisting of the intra-orbital Hubbard coupling ( $U$ ), the Hund's coupling ( $J = U/4$ ), the inter-orbital Hubbard coupling ( $U' = U - 2J$ ) and pair-hopping ( $J' = J$ ).

The arising matrix structure of the Hamiltonian in orbital space requires introducing the magnetic matrix order parameters,  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{1,2}$ , defined in the space spanned by the iron  $d$ -orbitals, i.e.:  $\{xz, yz, xy, x^2 - y^2, z^2\}$ . In a similar fashion, the coefficients of the free energy will also acquire an orbital character and the free energy is obtained by contracting all appearing indices. Despite the orbital structure of  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{1,2}$  we can still connect to the free energy of Eq. (1), since near the transition of interest the leading instability has a fixed orbital weight,  $\hat{v}_{1,2}$ , given by the vanishing of the quadratic Landau term which coincides with the inverse magnetic propagator matrix,  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{mag}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ , calculated for  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$  within the random phase approximation (for more details see Ref. 35). Thus, we define  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{1,2} \equiv \mathbf{M}_{1,2}\hat{v}_{1,2}$  with the orbital weight to be determined by  $\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{-1}\hat{v}_{1,2} = \lambda_{1,2}^{(0)}\hat{v}_{1,2}$ , with  $\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{-1} \equiv \tilde{\chi}_{\text{mag}}^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}_{1,2})$ . The above expression is evaluated as a function of temperature until the smallest eigenvalue ( $\lambda_{1,2}^{(0)}$ ) vanishes, signalling the onset of magnetic order with orbital weight  $\hat{v}_{1,2}$ . Notably the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1,2}^{(0)}$  for  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$  vanish simultaneously as  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{mag}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ , being a quadratic term, cannot distinguish between C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> configurations. The latter also implies that  $\hat{v}_{1,2}$  transform into each other under C<sub>4</sub> rotations. We restrict ourselves to the close vicinity of the particular paramagnetic-magnetic transition, and project onto the leading magnetic instability by contracting the orbital resolved Landau coefficients with the orbital weight matrices ( $\hat{v}_{1,2}$ ) retrieved earlier.

We determine the location of the magnetic transition as a function of filling for  $U = 0.95$  eV. From the coefficients obtained by projecting onto the orbital weight of the leading magnetic instability, we determine the magnetic ground state. The latter is depicted in the phase diagram in Fig. 3. A large region of the phase diagram exhibits the usual commensurate striped antiferromagnetism with ordering vector  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi, 0)/(0, \pi)$ . In contrast, substantial hole doping (i.e.  $\langle n \rangle \approx 5.75$ ) yields the IC magnetic wavevectors  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ , as depicted in Fig. 3. The latter can be well accommodated in the itinerant picture. The FS is appreciably modified with the removal of carriers, as evidenced in Fig. 4, and the nesting vectors shift away from  $(\pi, 0)/(0, \pi)$  leading to a commensurate to IC phase transition typically accompanied by an inflection point [29]. In fact, FS nesting is greatly weakened in the IC regime, due to the reduced impact of a van Hove singularity. The latter is also reflected in the drop of the magnetic transition temperature of Fig. 3. At the onset of the IC region the commensurate magnetic stripe is succeeded by the C<sub>4</sub> non-coplanar phase, while upon further lowering of the chemical potential the C<sub>4</sub> non-collinear phase takes over. The C<sub>4</sub>-symmetric non-coplanar magnetic texture has the profile  $M_x(\mathbf{r}) = M \sin \lambda [\cos(Qx) + \cos(Qy)]$ ,




FIG. 3. Magnetic transition temperature as a function of the filling,  $\langle n \rangle$ , for the hole-doped five-orbital FeSC model employed. In the commensurate region the leading instability is the standard magnetic stripe. For  $\langle n \rangle \approx 5.75$  we obtain the type of incommensurability investigated here, with  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$ . In the IC region, the dominant magnetic order is the  $C_4$  non-coplanar phase (●) while the  $C_4$  non-collinear phase (●) becomes stabilized for smaller filling. The insets show the evolution of the peaks in the RPA susceptibility as the system moves from the commensurate region with  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi, 0)/(0, \pi)$  to the IC with  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2} = (\pi - \delta, 0)/(0, \pi - \delta)$  and  $\delta \approx \pi/10$ .

$M_y(\mathbf{r}) = M \cos \lambda \sin(Qx)$  and  $M_z(\mathbf{r}) = M \cos \lambda \sin(Qy)$  with  $\lambda \in [0, \pi/4]$  retrieved via minimizing the free energy (see Ref. 35). Remarkably, by applying an external Zeeman field  $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{x}$ , one can gap out the four nodal points  $(\pm\pi/Q, 0)$  and  $(0, \pm\pi/Q)$  for which  $|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})| = 0$ , and can render the texture skyrmionic, with charge  $\mathcal{C} = \pm 1$  (see Refs. 7 and 35).

*Topological superconductivity.* The possible microscopic coexistence of the  $C_4$  and  $C_2$  IC magnetic textures with spin-singlet superconductivity opens the door for actualizing *intrinsic* TSC phases harboring Majorana fermions [3–9]. As shown in detail in Ref. 35 the Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian describing the magnetic superconductor belongs to symmetry class D, due to its built-in antiunitary charge-conjugation symmetry [3]. Thus, a FeSC layer can support chiral TSC phases characterized by a  $\mathbb{Z}$  topological invariant [3] that, as long as bulk-boundary correspondence remains intact [38], yields the number of chiral Majorana modes per edge. Transitions to the topological phases occur via bulk energy gap closings, at which bulk Majorana cones arise (see Fig. 4 and Ref. 35). When the magnetic and superconducting energy scales are much smaller than the Fermi energy, gap closings can only occur at nested points of the FS. Since there exists a multitude of such points, numerous gap closings and subsequent topological phase transitions naturally occur. Note that two nested points can exhibit a gap closing *only* when they both experience an effective superconducting gap of the *same sign*, see Ref. 35. This constrains the form of wavevector and orbital structure of the pairing matrix,  $\hat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})$ , that can lead to a TSC. In




FIG. 4. (a) IC Fermi surface nesting for the hole-doped model of Ref. [33]. The main contributions to nesting along  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  ( $\mathbf{Q}_2$ ) arise in the  $xy$  and  $yz$  ( $xz$ ) orbitals. (b) Fermi surface after folding once along both  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$ . The inset shows the evolution of the energy dispersion when moving away from a nested point with direction along  $k_y$ , in the presence of magnetic order ( $M = 20$  meV and  $\lambda = \pi/5$ ) and two different values for the superconducting gap  $\Delta = 10$  meV (dashed) and  $\Delta = 5$  meV (full). In the latter we have chosen a SC gap value yielding a bulk Majorana cone associated with the occurrence of a topological phase transition. (c)-(f) Relative sign structure of the superconducting order parameter on the pockets, leading to topological (c)-(e) or trivial (f) phases.

Fig. 4 we show representative pairing gap structures satisfying (or not) these requirements, when the SC order parameter is assumed to have a fixed sign on each pocket.

*Epilogue.* In the present work we shed light on yet-unexplored aspects of IC magnetism and provided a general and complete classification of the possible ground states for a particular type of incommensurability. Motivated by the observed tendency of FeSCs towards magnetism, we employed a representative five-orbital model and demonstrated that such IC scenarios are indeed realistic and tip over the established dominance of commensurate phases and their natural IC extensions. As a matter of fact, IC magnetic ground states involving spirals or  $C_2$ -symmetric textures can be the answer to the enigmatic phase observed in the vicinity of an IC stripe phase in Na-doped 122 compounds [28]. Similar to the predictions of Ref. 39 for the three standard commensurate magnetic phases, experimental fingerprints of the novel IC phases are also expected to become evident in e.g. the standard or spin-resolved scanning tunneling microscopy. In addition, a number of these phases can also exhibit topologically non-trivial characteristics. In particular, a skyrmionic charge can be induced in both  $C_{2,4}$ -symmetric non-coplanar phases via an external Zeeman field.

Apart from their significance for resolving magnetism in the FeSCs, textured phases can be exploited for reali-

zing intrinsic class D TSCs. Here we focused on the  $C_4$ -symmetric non-coplanar phase, one of the accessible ground states upon hole doping, and showed that in coexistence with spin-singlet superconductivity it leads to phases supporting chiral Majorana edge modes. Evenmore, the topological phase diagram can be externally tuned via the application of a Zeeman field. While here we provided the blueprints for the desired structure of the pairing gap matrix for achieving such non-trivial phases and unfolded the general strategy, the detailed interplay of magnetism and superconductivity as also the resulting topological landscape need to be further investigated. Nonetheless, the experimentally observed microscopic coexistence of magnetism and superconductivity in FeSCs opens novel paths for engineering TSCs,

distinct from the already existing mechanisms involving FeSe compounds [40–43] or other hybrid structures consisting of two dimensional magnetic textures [4, 6–9] in proximity to conventional superconductors.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge D. D. Scherer for the thorough reading of the manuscript and his helpful comments, and also D. Steffensen and M. N. Gastiasoro for invaluable discussions. M. H. C. and B. M. A. acknowledge financial support from a Lundbeckfond fellowship (Grant No. A9318).

- 
- [1] J. Paglione and R. L. Greene, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 645 (2010).
  - [2] D. J. Scalapino, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1383 (2012).
  - [3] P. Kotetes, *New J. Phys.* **15**, 105027 (2013).
  - [4] S. Nakosai, Y. Tanaka, and N. Nagaosa, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 180503(R) (2013).
  - [5] K. Pöyhönen, A. Westström, J. Röntynen, and T. Ojanen, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 115109 (2014).
  - [6] N. Sedlmayr, J. M. Aguiar-Hualde, and C. Bena, *Phys. Rev. B*, **91**, 115415 (2015).
  - [7] D. Mandler, P. Kotetes, and G. Schön, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155405 (2015).
  - [8] W. Chen and A. P. Schnyder, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 214502 (2015).
  - [9] G. Yang, P. Stano, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 224505 (2016).
  - [10] S. Avci, O. Chmaissem, J. M. Allred, S. Rosenkranz, I. Eremin, A. V. Chubukov, D. E. Bugaris, D. Y. Chung, M. G. Kanatzidis, J.-P. Castellan, J. A. Schlueter, H. Claus, D. D. Khalyavin, P. Manuel, A. Daoud-Aladine, and R. Osborn, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3845 (2014).
  - [11] F. Wasser, A. Schneidewind, Y. Sidis, S. Wurmehl, S. Aswartham, B. Büchner, and M. Braden, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 060505 (2015).
  - [12] E. Hassinger, G. Gredat, F. Valade, S. R. de Cotret, A. Juneau-Fecteau, J.-P. Reid, H. Kim, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, B. Shen, H.-H. Wen, N. Doiron-Leyraud, and L. Taillefer, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 140502 (2012).
  - [13] A. E. Böhmer, F. Hardy, L. Wang, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, and C. Meingast, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7911 (2015).
  - [14] J. M. Allred, S. Avci, Y. Chung, H. Claus, D. D. Khalyavin, P. Manuel, K. M. Taddei, M. G. Kanatzidis, S. Rosenkranz, R. Osborn, and O. Chmaissem, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 094515 (2015).
  - [15] Y. Zheng, P. M. Tam, J. Hou, A. E. Böhmer, T. Wolf, C. Meingast, and R. Lortz, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 104516 (2016).
  - [16] B. P. P. Mallett, Y. G. Pashkevich, A. Gusev, T. Wolf, and C. Bernhard, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **111**, 57001 (2015).
  - [17] B. P. P. Mallett, P. Marsik, M. Yazdi-Rizi, T. Wolf, A. E. Böhmer, F. Hardy, C. Meingast, D. Munzar, and C. Bernhard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 027003 (2015).
  - [18] J. M. Allred, K. M. Taddei, D. E. Bugaris, M. J. Krogstad, S. H. Lapidus, D. Y. Chung, H. Claus, M. G. Kanatzidis, D. E. Brown, J. Kang, R. M. Fernandes, I. Eremin, S. Rosenkranz, O. Chmaissem, and R. Osborn, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 493 (2016).
  - [19] J. Lorenzana, G. Seibold, C. Ortix, and M. Grilli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 186402 (2008).
  - [20] I. Eremin and A. V. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 024511 (2010).
  - [21] P. M. R. Brydon, J. Schmiedt, and C. Timm, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 214510 (2011).
  - [22] G. Giovannetti, C. Ortix, M. Marsman, M. Capone, J. van den Brink, and J. Lorenzana, *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 398 (2011).
  - [23] M. N. Gastiasoro, B. M. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 140506(R) (2015).
  - [24] J. Kang, X. Wang, A. V. Chubukov, and R. M. Fernandes, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 121104(R) (2015).
  - [25] M. H. Christensen, J. Kang, B. M. Andersen, I. Eremin, and R. M. Fernandes, **92**, 214509 (2015).
  - [26] N. Ni, M. E. Tillman, J.-Q. Yan, A. Kracher, S. T. Hannahs, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 214515 (2008).
  - [27] S. Nandi, M. G. Kim, A. Kreyssig, R. M. Fernandes, D. K. Pratt, A. Thaler, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, J. Schmalian, R. J. McQueeney, and A. I. Goldman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 057006 (2010).
  - [28] L. Wang, F. Hardy, A. E. Böhmer, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, and C. Meingast, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 014514 (2016).
  - [29] A. B. Vorontsov, M. G. Vavilov, and A. V. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 060508(R) (2009).
  - [30] D. K. Pratt, M. G. Kim, A. Kreyssig, Y. B. Lee, G. S. Tucker, A. Thaler, W. Tian, J. L. Zarestky, S. L. Budko, P. C. Canfield, B. N. Harmon, A. I. Goldman, and R. J. McQueeney, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 257001 (2011).
  - [31] H. Q. Luo, R. Zhang, M. Laver, Z. Yamani, M. Wang, X.Y. Lu, M.Y. Wang, Y. C. Chen, S. L. Li, S. Chang, J.W. Lynn, and P. C. Dai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 247002 (2012).
  - [32] N. Qureshi, P. Steffens, Y. Drees, A. C. Komarek, D. Lamago, Y. Sidis, L. Harnagea, H.-J. Grafe, S. Wurmehl, B. Büchner, and M. Braden, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 117001 (2012).
  - [33] H. Ikeda, R. Arita, and J. Kunes, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 054502 (2010).

- [34] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1445 (1990).
  - [35] See Supplemental Material attached.
  - [36] C. Castellani, C. R. Natoli, and J. Ranninger, Phys. Rev. B **18**, 4945 (1978).
  - [37] A.M. Oles, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 327 (1983).
  - [38] M. T. Mercaldo, M. Cuoco, and P. Kotetes, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 140503(R) (2016).
  - [39] M. N. Gastiasoro, I. Eremin, R. M. Fernandes, and B. M. Andersen, arXiv:1607.04711, accepted Nat. Commun. (2016).
  - [40] Ningning Hao and Jiangping Hu, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 031053 (2014).
  - [41] Z. Wang, P. Zhang, Gang Xu, L. K. Zeng, H. Miao, X. Xu, T. Qian, H. Weng, P. Richard, A. V. Fedorov, H. Ding, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 115119 (2015).
  - [42] Z. F. Wang, Huimin Zhang, Defa Liu, Chong Liu, Chenjia Tang, Canli Song, Yong Zhong, Junping Peng, Fangsen Li, Caina Nie, Lili Wang, X. J. Zhou, Xucun Ma, Q. K. Xue, and Feng Liu, Nat. Mat. **15**, 968 (2016).
  - [43] G. Xu, B. Lian, P. Tang, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 047001 (2016).
-

# SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

## 1. Incommensurate Magnetic Phases

As we showed in the main text, there exist *nine* distinct incommensurate (IC) magnetic ground states for the Landau functional considered in Eq. (1). For each one of these phases we present: the configuration of the respective  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2}$  spin vectors, the corresponding (or other symmetry-equivalent) magnetization profile  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})$ , and also the corresponding normalized and shifted quartic free energy term  $\mathcal{F}^{(4)} \equiv 4F^{(4)}/M^4 - 2\tilde{\beta}$  (the quadratic term is the same for all phases). The six new phases are depicted in Fig. 2 in the main paper, and for completeness we here include the IC generalizations of the three original commensurate phases in Fig. 5.

### a. Incommensurate stripe

This single- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_2$  phase has a commensurate analog, appears only for  $\beta < \tilde{\beta}$ , and has the following characteristics:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (0, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = M(0, 0, \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}). \quad (4)$$

### b. Collinear $C_4$ -phase

This double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_4$ -phase has a commensurate analog, appears only for  $\tilde{g} < 0$ , and is described by:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (0, 0, 1), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = M(0, 0, \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G + \tilde{G} + 1}{2}. \quad (5)$$

### c. Non-collinear $C_4$ -phase

This double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_4$ -phase has a commensurate analog, appears only for  $\tilde{g} > 0$ , and has the following form:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (0, 1, 0), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = M(0, \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G + 1}{2}. \quad (6)$$

### d. Magnetic spiral

This single- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_2$ -phase is *new* and appears only for  $\beta > \tilde{\beta}$ . One finds:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (0, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = M(\sin(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}), 0, \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 0. \quad (7)$$




FIG. 5. Illustration of the IC generalization of the three original commensurate phases with ordering wavevectors  $(0, \pi)$  and  $(\pi, 0)$ . In the above we depict: (a) the IC  $C_2$  stripe phase, (b) the IC  $C_4$  collinear phase (or IC charge-spin density wave) and (c) the IC  $C_4$  non-collinear phase (or IC spin-vortex crystal).

*e. Magnetic spiral  $\mathcal{E} \parallel$  Incommensurate stripe*

This double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_2$ -phase is *new* and we obtain:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (i \sin \lambda_2, 0, \cos \lambda_2), \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{(\tilde{G} + 2G)^2}{(\tilde{G} + 2)^2 + 8(G - 1)}$$

and  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = M (\sin \eta \sin \lambda_2 \sin(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), 0, \cos \eta \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \sin \eta \cos \lambda_2 \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}))$ , (8)

with:

$$\cos(2\eta) = -\frac{\tilde{G}^2 - 4}{(\tilde{G} + 2)^2 + 8(G - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos(2\lambda_2) = -\tilde{G} \frac{2G + \tilde{G}}{\tilde{G}(\tilde{G} + 2) + 4(G - 1)}. \quad (9)$$

Note that the spiral becomes symmetric, i.e.  $\lambda_2 = \pi/4$ , only for  $\tilde{G} = 0$ .

*f. Magnetic spiral  $\mathcal{E} \perp$  Incommensurate stripe*

This double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_2$ -phase is *new* and has the following features:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 1, 0), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \eta \sin(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \sin \eta \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \sqrt{2} \cos \eta \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}))$$

and  $\mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G^2}{2G - 1}$  with  $\cos(2\eta) = \frac{1}{2G - 1}$ . (10)

*g. Coplanar  $C_2$ -phase*

This double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_2$ -phase (in spite of  $\eta = \pi/4$ ) is *new*, appears for  $\tilde{G} \neq \pm 2$ , and is described by:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 0, 1), \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{M}{2} (\sin(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \sin(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), 0, \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r})) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G + \tilde{G}/2}{2}. \quad (11)$$

*h. Non-coplanar  $C_4$ -phase*

This double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_4$ -phase is *new*, appears for  $\tilde{G}(1 - G) + 4G \neq 0$ , and one finds:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = (\sin \lambda, 0, i \cos \lambda) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (\sin \lambda, i \cos \lambda, 0), \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{G(\tilde{G} + 4) + \tilde{G}}{2(\tilde{G} + 4)} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \lambda \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \sin \lambda \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \cos \lambda \sin(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \cos \lambda \sin(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})), \quad (12)$$

with  $\eta = \pi/4$  and  $\cos(2\lambda_1) = \cos(2\lambda_2) = \tilde{G}/(\tilde{G} + 4) \equiv \cos(2\lambda)$ . Note also that for  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{G} = 0$  we obtain  $\cos(2\lambda_1) = \cos(2\lambda_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{1,2} = \pi/4$ . The latter implies  $\cos(2\eta) = 0 \Rightarrow \eta = \pi/4$  and leads to a symmetric double- $\mathbf{Q}$  non-coplanar  $C_4$ -phase  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{M}{2} (\cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \sin(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \sin(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}))$ .

*i. Non-Coplanar  $C_2$ -phase*

This *new* double- $\mathbf{Q}$   $C_2$ -phase, consists of a symmetric spiral for  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  coexisting with an asymmetric spiral for  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i, 0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = (0, i \sin \lambda_2, \cos \lambda_2), \quad \mathcal{F}^{(4)} = 2(\beta - \tilde{\beta}) \frac{(\tilde{G} + 4G)^2}{(\tilde{G} + 4)^2 + 16(2G - 1)}$$

and  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = M \left( \frac{\cos \eta}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \sin \eta \sin \lambda_2 \sin(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}), \frac{\cos \eta}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\mathbf{Q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \sin \eta \cos \lambda_2 \cos(\mathbf{Q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}) \right)$ , with (13)

$$\cos(2\eta) = -\frac{\tilde{G}^2}{(\tilde{G} + 4)^2 + 16(2G - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos(2\lambda_2) = -\tilde{G} \frac{\tilde{G} + 4G}{\tilde{G}(\tilde{G} + 4) + 16G}. \quad (14)$$

By applying a Zeeman field one can remove the nodes of the  $C_2$  and  $C_4$  non-coplanar phases, i.e.  $|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})| \neq 0 \forall \mathbf{r}$ , that allows introducing the Chern number for the magnetic unit vector  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})/|\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})|$ :

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\text{Magnetic Skyrmion Lattice Unit Cell}} d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot [\partial_x \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) \times \partial_y \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r})], \quad (15)$$

which coincides with the magnetic skyrmion charge. Such a topologically non-trivial magnetic texture with  $\mathcal{C} = \pm 1$  can be tunably achieved in the  $C_4$  non-coplanar phase discussed in the main text by applying a field  $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{x}$  with Zeeman energy  $E_{\text{Zeeman}} < 2|M|\sin\lambda$ .

## 2. Magnetic order parameters and orbital structure for the case of iron-based superconductors

The magnetic transition temperature is obtained by identifying the first zero eigenvalue of the static part of the inverse magnetic propagator matrix when evaluated as a function of temperature and  $\mathbf{q}$ . The latter is defined as  $[\chi_{\text{mag}}^{-1}]^{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) \mapsto \tilde{\chi}_{\text{mag}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ , with  $a, b, c, d$  orbital indices and  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{mag}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) = \tilde{U}^{-1} - \tilde{\chi}_0(\mathbf{q})$ . Note that for our calculations we neglect the Hartree shift of the chemical potential induced by interactions. The orbital weight of the magnetic order parameter is obtained from the eigenmatrix associated with the zero eigenvalue, i.e.  $\tilde{\chi}_{\text{mag}}^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}_{1,2})\hat{v}_{1,2} = \hat{0}$ . As incommensurability sets in we find the orbital content (the basis is  $\{xz, yz, xy, x^2 - y^2, z^2\}$ ):

$$\hat{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.395 & 0 & i0.045 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.501 & 0 & i0.028 & -i0.025 \\ i0.045 & 0 & 0.574 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i0.028 & 0 & 0.335 & -0.038 \\ 0 & -i0.025 & 0 & -0.038 & 0.377 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.501 & 0 & 0 & i0.028 & i0.025 \\ 0 & 0.395 & -i0.045 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i0.045 & 0.574 & 0 & 0 \\ i0.028 & 0 & 0 & 0.335 & 0.038 \\ i0.025 & 0 & 0 & 0.038 & 0.377 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

The quartic coefficients of the free energy are computed by performing a Hubbard-Stratonovich decoupling in the magnetic channel and expanding the trace-log to fourth order in the magnetic order parameters. The expression is truncated by assuming that only the lowest harmonics contribute. This is justified by comparing the magnitude of the peak at  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$  in the bare susceptibility with the peaks at higher integer multiples of  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}$ , as shown in Fig. 7. The quartic coefficients are rank-8 tensors in orbital space and for determining the magnetic order at the instability, the coefficients are projected onto the leading instability using the orbital content provided by the above eigenmatrices.




FIG. 6. Plot of the bare physical susceptibility at the onset of magnetic order for various values of the filling. We note that the path between  $\Gamma$  and X is dominated by a single peak. This allows us to neglect higher harmonics of  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, 0)/(0, Q)$ . Nevertheless, this does not exclude a possible secondary magnetic transition with a wavevector given by the additional susceptibility peak. Here  $\chi_0$  refers to the bare physical susceptibility  $\sum_{ab} \chi_0^{aabb}(\mathbf{q})$ .

## 3. Topological superconductivity

For the description of topological superconductivity we have to set up the Bogoliubov - de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian. The magnetic wavevectors have the form:  $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (Q, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_2 = (0, Q)$  and we set  $Q = 2q$ . The five orbital-model is described by a matrix Hamiltonian in orbital space,  $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k})$ , with a particular form of matrix elements that guarantee the presence of time-reversal ( $\mathcal{T}$ ) and tetragonal symmetries. On the other hand, the matrix magnetic

order parameters  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}$ , satisfy  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{-\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}} = \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{Q}_{1,2}}^\dagger$ . The above implies that the Hamiltonian describing the five-orbital model in the presence of magnetism reads:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{mag}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)}^\dagger & \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)}^\dagger & \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)}^\dagger & \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}-q(1,1)}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)) & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^\dagger \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)) & 0 & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^\dagger \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 & \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)) & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ 0 & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^\dagger \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^\dagger \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(1,1)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)} \\ \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)} \\ \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)} \\ \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}-q(1,1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where the spinors above contain both orbital and spin indices. Note that the above description is approximate as we do not include higher harmonics, and aims at mainly including the magnetic gap openings of the nested Fermi surface parts. Thus the above  $\mathbf{k}$ -summation area should properly include the nested parts, as in Fig. 4(b). We will now employ the  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  Pauli matrices for the wavevector transfers of  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  respectively. We thus obtain:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{h}_1(\mathbf{k})\rho_z + \hat{h}_2(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{1} + \hat{h}_3(\mathbf{k})\eta_z + \hat{h}_4(\mathbf{k})\eta_z\rho_z + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Re}} \cdot \rho_x \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Im}} \cdot \rho_y \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Re}} \cdot \eta_x \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Im}} \cdot \eta_y \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad (18)$$

where the  $\hat{h}_{1,2,3,4}(\mathbf{k})$  matrices consist of the following linear combinations of the  $\hat{\varepsilon}$ 's:

$$\hat{h}_1(\mathbf{k}) = [\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)) - \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)) - \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(1,1))]/4, \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{h}_2(\mathbf{k}) = [\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(1,1))]/4, \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{h}_3(\mathbf{k}) = [\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)) - \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)) - \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(1,1))]/4, \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{h}_4(\mathbf{k}) = [\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)) - \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)) - \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)) + \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}-q(1,1))]/4 \quad (22)$$

that in the absence of spin-orbit interaction satisfy the constraint  $\hat{h}_s(-\mathbf{k}) = (-1)^s \hat{h}_s^*(\mathbf{k})$  ( $s = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) since they are  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetric. In the above we also introduced the Hermitian (but not generally real) matrices:  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_q^{\text{Re}} = (\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_q + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_q^\dagger)/2$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_q^{\text{Im}} = (\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_q - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_q^\dagger)/(2i)$ . However, since the terms  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Re}} \cdot \rho_x \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Im}} \cdot \rho_y \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Re}} \cdot \eta_x \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Im}} \cdot \eta_y \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  correspond to magnetic terms and thus are odd under time-reversal operation, we obtain that the matrices in orbital space *have to be real*, i.e.  $[\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{1,2}^{\text{Re,Im}}]^* = \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{1,2}^{\text{Re,Im}} = [\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{1,2}^{\text{Re,Im}}]^\text{T}$ .

At this point we will include a  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetric spin-singlet superconductivity matrix order parameter,  $\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})$ . Due to the spin-singlet character of superconductivity one obtains  $\widehat{\Delta}^\text{T}(\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{\Delta}(-\mathbf{k})$ . When the latter is combined with the  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetry constraint,  $\widehat{\Delta}^*(-\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})$ , we find that  $\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{\Delta}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ . By extending the spinor to Nambu space

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = \left( \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)}^\dagger, \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)}^\dagger, \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)}^\dagger, \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}-q(1,1)}^\dagger, \right. \\ \left. \hat{\psi}_{-\mathbf{k}-q(1,1)}(-i\sigma_y), \hat{\psi}_{-\mathbf{k}-q(-1,1)}(-i\sigma_y), \hat{\psi}_{-\mathbf{k}+q(-1,1)}(-i\sigma_y), \hat{\psi}_{-\mathbf{k}+q(1,1)}(-i\sigma_y) \right) \quad (23)$$

we retrieve the following BdG Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{BdG}}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}$ :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{BdG}}(\mathbf{k}) = \tau_z [\hat{h}_1(\mathbf{k})\rho_z + \hat{h}_2(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{1} + \hat{h}_3(\mathbf{k})\eta_z + \hat{h}_4(\mathbf{k})\eta_z\rho_z] + \left( \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Re}} \rho_x - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Im}} \rho_y + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Re}} \eta_x - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Im}} \eta_y \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ + \tau_x [\widehat{\Delta}_1(\mathbf{k})\rho_z + \widehat{\Delta}_2(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{1} + \widehat{\Delta}_3(\mathbf{k})\eta_z + \widehat{\Delta}_4(\mathbf{k})\eta_z\rho_z], \quad (24)$$

with  $\widehat{\Delta}_s(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\hat{h}_s(\mathbf{k})$  having identical structure (with  $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})$ ). The  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetry constraint on the  $\widehat{\Delta}_s(\mathbf{k})$ , implies that  $\widehat{\Delta}_s(-\mathbf{k}) = (-1)^s \widehat{\Delta}_s^*(\mathbf{k})$  for ( $s = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ).

The above BdG Hamiltonian enjoys the chiral and generalized charge-conjugation and time-reversal symmetries effected by the following operators:  $\Pi = \tau_y \eta_z \rho_z$ ,  $\Xi = \tau_y \eta_x \rho_x \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$  and  $\Theta = i \eta_y \rho_y \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$ , with complex-conjugation ( $\mathcal{K}$ ) also inverting  $\mathbf{k}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger \mathbf{k} \mathcal{K} = -\mathbf{k}$ . The above generalized time-reversal symmetry originates from the combination of time-reversal and a translation by  $\mathbf{a} = \frac{\pi}{Q}(1,1)$ , i.e.  $\Theta = \mathcal{T} t_{\mathbf{a}}$ .  $\Theta$  squares to  $-1$  and thus implies that the above BdG Hamiltonian belongs to class DIII with a Kramers degenerate spectrum. The DIII class is characterized by a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological invariant implying the appearance of Majorana Kramers-paired edge modes. Nevertheless, the latter Kramers degeneracy is approximate and only emerges in this low-energy model where the incommensurability features are neglected. The latter can be reinforced by taking into account the net magnetic moment, i.e.  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_0 = \int d\mathbf{r} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{r})$ ,

that is necessarily present due to the IC nature of magnetism. The homogeneous magnetic moment enters as a weak perturbation to the above Hamiltonian in the form  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , manifestly violates  $\Theta$  and  $\Pi$ , and thus yields the symmetry class transition DIII  $\rightarrow$  D. Class D supports a  $\mathbb{Z}$  topological invariant allowing an integer number of chiral Majorana modes per edge. Based on the topological invariant compatibility arising in the present case, we obtain that the Majorana Kramers pair of modes per edge will mutate into (up to two) chiral Majorana modes per edge with generally different dispersions.

The topological phase boundaries are given by the bulk energy gap closings. In the weak coupling limit in which the magnetic and superconducting energy scales are much smaller than the Fermi energy, only gap closings at the Fermi surface (FS) matter. Such closings are given by the parameter points that lead to zero eigenvalues for the operator:

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_0 + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Re}} \rho_x - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_1^{\text{Im}} \rho_y + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Re}} \eta_x - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_2^{\text{Im}} \eta_y)_{\text{FS}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \tau_x [\widehat{\Delta}_1(\mathbf{k}) \rho_z + \widehat{\Delta}_2(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{1} + \widehat{\Delta}_3(\mathbf{k}) \eta_z + \widehat{\Delta}_4(\mathbf{k}) \eta_z \rho_z]_{\text{FS}} = 0. \quad (25)$$

Note that the index FS denotes that the above operator acts in the Hilbert space spanned by the states  $|\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}, \nu\rangle$ , which constitute the zero-energy eigenstates of the tight-binding Hamiltonian  $\tau_z [\hat{h}_1(\mathbf{k}) \rho_z + \hat{h}_2(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{1} + \hat{h}_3(\mathbf{k}) \eta_z + \hat{h}_4(\mathbf{k}) \eta_z \rho_z]_{\text{FS}}$ , considered only for the FS wavevectors,  $\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}$ . In order to exemplify this approach, let us focus on two points of the FS,  $\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}$  and  $\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2$ , i.e. nested by  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ . For clarity we will consider the case presented in Fig 4(b) of the main text, for which the orbital content of these two points is predominantly  $d_{xy}$  (colored with blue). The respective eigenstates  $|\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}, \nu\rangle$  are defined in the folded zone and contain information regarding both nested points. In particular, eigenstates related to  $\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}/\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2$  have a substructure  $(1, 0, 0, 0)^T/(0, 0, 1, 0)^T$  in the respective  $\eta \otimes \rho$  space. If we for simplicity confine ourselves to the dominant  $d_{xy}$  orbital contribution for these FS points, Eq. 25 becomes:

$$\left[ \mathbf{M}_0^{xy} + v_2^{xy} (\mathbf{M}_2^{\text{Re}} \eta_x - \mathbf{M}_2^{\text{Im}} \eta_y) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \tau_x \left[ \frac{\Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}) + \Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2)}{2} \mathbf{1} + \frac{\Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}) - \Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2)}{2} \eta_z \right] = 0.$$

Since  $\mathbf{M}_0^{xy}$  is not driving the topological phase transition we can momentarily neglect it. In the case of the  $C_4$  non-coplanar phase the relevant magnetic order parameter has the form:  $\mathbf{M}_2 = \frac{M}{2}(\sin \lambda, i \cos \lambda, 0)$  and the above equation yields the gap closing conditions:

$$(M v_2^{xy}/2)^2 (\cos \lambda \pm \sin \lambda)^2 = \Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}) \Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2). \quad (26)$$

Evidently there can be a gap closing and thus a topological phase transition only if  $\text{sgn}[\Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}}) \Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2)] > 0$ . Here, in order to obtain a fully gapped bulk energy spectrum, we assume that any possible zeros of  $\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})$  occur away from the FS and thus every pocket sees a fixed sign for the superconducting order parameter. As a result  $\text{sgn}[\Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}})]$  and  $\text{sgn}[\Delta^{xy}(\mathbf{k}_{\text{FS}} - \mathbf{Q}_2)]$  essentially coincide with the signs of the superconducting order parameter on the M-hole pocket and the electron pocket near the X-point, respectively. Conclusively, for two nested pockets a gap closing can occur only when they share the same sign of the superconducting order parameter.

In Fig. 7 we depict the resulting bandstructure in the presence of the  $C_4$  non-coplanar magnetic texture and sign-preserving intra-orbital pairing term  $\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})$ , which for simplicity we set equal to  $\Delta \mathbf{1}$ . For particular values of  $\Delta, M, \lambda$  and by taking into account the detailed orbital content given by Eq. (16), gap closings and bulk Majorana cones appear, that signal the occurrence of a topological phase transition and ensure the appearance of a TSC phase.




FIG. 7. Evolution of the bandstructure as  $\Delta$  is changed, for  $M = 0.02 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\lambda = \pi/5$  while we have omitted  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_0$  for simplicity. The left plot reveals a bulk Majorana cone, thus signalling a topological phase transition, and the enlarged version of this is depicted in Fig. 4 in the main text.